

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/559,736</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) FABIAN, HEINZ</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner KEITH T. AZIZ</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1791</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/559,736	Applicant(s) FABIAN, HEINZ	Examiner KEITH T. AZIZ	Art Unit 1791
Application No. 10/559,736	Applicant(s) FABIAN, HEINZ				
Examiner KEITH T. AZIZ	Art Unit 1791				

All Participants:

(1) KEITH T. AZIZ.

(2) Andrew Tajloff.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
All pending claims, notably claim 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An amendment that would put the case in allowance was discussed, notably indicating that the subsurface cracks were closed to the surface, as all prior art detailed only open subsurface cracks. The proposed amendment was agreed upon, and has put the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)